

Freie Universität zu Berlin
Fachbereich Geowissenschaften
Institut für Geologische Wissenschaften

Helmholtz-Zentrum Potsdam
Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum

Diploma Thesis

*Megathrust seismic cycle surface deformation in
subduction forearcs – a comparison between elastic
dislocation, analog seismic cycle models and nature*

Submitted by:

Rainer Nerlich

Referees:

Prof. Dr. Onno Oncken (Freie Universität zu Berlin/Helmholtz Zentrum Potsdam - Deutsches
GeoForschungsZentrum)

Prof. Dr. Rainer Kind (Freie Universität zu Berlin/Helmholtz Zentrum Potsdam - Deutsches
GeoForschungsZentrum)

Affirmation

I certify herewith that I composed this diploma thesis independently, and that I did not use any sources or auxiliary means other than mentioned.

Rainer Nerlich

Berlin, 5th March 2009

Abstract

My diploma thesis focuses on the surface deformation of subduction zone forearcs in the course of multiple seismic cycles. To study seismotectonic forearc deformation, experimentally observed deformations of an analog subduction zone model monitored using particle imaging velocimetry (PIV) were compared with the predictions of a purely elastic dislocation model (EDM) and tested against natural observations. Based on a thorough sensitivity analysis of the EDM and PIV system, respectively, a computer tool was developed to ease analysis of the experimental deformation patterns with respect to elastic and permanent plastic components using the EDM. The handling of the program is described in here in form of a user guide. By analyzing an experiment using the new tool, I derived a data base of 83 analog earthquakes and 60 interseismic periods. Each coseismic deformation pattern was separated into elastic and plastic deformation components and discussed with respect to scaling relationships between surface deformation extent and earthquake slip as well as its similarity to naturally observed deformation patterns. The typical coseismic analog model surface deformation pattern of differential uplift and subsidence, which is known from great earthquakes in nature, is seen to be predominantly elastic. For instance the coseismic uplift bulge seaward of the earthquake asperity, which is shown by the elastic model and the analog model, is a robust feature. This observation justifies the use of elastic models in slip inversion studies. Uplift occurs about 30 km seawards of the asperity and is about 30 % of the maximum earthquake slip in the given setup (15° dipping plate interface). A significant number of analog earthquake patterns, however, included first- or second-order non-elastic effects, which affect the relationships between the surface deformation and the slip along the megathrust at depth. Parts of these effects are arguably artificial or setup-related, parts of them reasonably realistic. The latter include subsidence of the forearc due to potential energy release and a “new class” of subduction earthquakes: Catastrophic normal faulting is observed in the experiment, which causes thinning of the subduction channel in the area of large slip. This type of earthquake might be responsible for the global correlation between forearc basins and areas of large slip during subduction earthquakes. The analysis of the interseismic deformation patterns showed that more than 50 % of the interseismic deformation in the analog model is plastic. If applicable to nature this implies that locking of the megathrust as inverted from geodetic observations using elastic models is notoriously overestimated. Permanent interseismic uplift occurs close to the trench and in the coastal region which causes a morphotectonic segmentation of the forearc as seen in many subduction zones in the world.

Acknowledgements

At first, I want to thank Professor Dr. Onno Oncken for allowing me to write my diploma thesis at the “geodynamics” department 3.1 of the GFZ Potsdam. It was great to gain first experiences of working in a research center such as the GFZ.

Secondly, I thank Dr. Matthias Rosenau, who was guiding me along the way of compiling my thesis. I thank him very much for his patience and the help he always offered to me. I was very happy to write my thesis in the framework of his research project and learned a lot from him about an appropriate scientific working style.

Furthermore, I thank Professor Dr. Rainer Kind, who was willing to access my diploma thesis, without much knowing about me and my project, and finally Thomas Ziegenhagen, who helped me to setup the computer program, which was developed in the course of my thesis.

Content

Affirmation	I
Abstract.....	II
Acknowledgements.....	III
Content.....	IV
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Principal concepts and models:	2
2.1 The seismic cycle	2
2.2 The seismogenic zone	2
2.3 Elastoplastic rock deformation	3
2.4 An analog model of earthquake cycles monitored using the PIV method	5
2.5 The Elastic Dislocation Model (EDM).....	7
3. Precision and parameters of the models	7
3.1 Resolution and precision of PIV-derived deformation fields of the analog model	7
3.2 EDM-Sensitivity analysis.....	12
3.2.1 Fault Length	14
3.2.2 Dislocation Size.....	17
3.2.3 Spacing between the fault and the model boundaries	20
3.2.4 Young's Modulus (E)	20
3.2.5 Poisson Ratio.....	21
3.2.6 Final EDM-setup	22
4. Development of the “analog slip-to-EDM tool”	23
4.1 Derivation of the deformation	23
4.1.1 Coseismic deformation	23
4.1.2 Interseismic deformation	24
4.2 Derivation of the coseismic slip	25
4.3 Derivation of the interseismic backslip.....	31
4.4 The “analog slip-to-EDM” tool	33
4.4.1 “Derive slip”	33
4.4.2 “Coulomb to csv”	40

4.4.3 “Surface deformation”	41
5. Application of the analog-slip-to EDM tool.....	44
5.1 Coseismic vertical deformation	45
5.1.1 Near trench uplift/subsidence further inland	47
5.1.2 Near trench subsidence/uplift further inland	51
5.1.3 Only subsidence	54
5.1.4 Only uplift	57
5.2 Coseismic horizontal deformation	59
5.2.1 Group 1: Increasing trenchward deformation towards the trench	60
5.2.2 Group 2: Increasing landward deformation towards the trench	63
5.2.3 Group 3: Complex	65
5.3 Interseismic deformation.....	67
5.3.1 Interseismic vertical deformation	67
5.3.2 Interseismic horizontal deformation	71
6. Discussion	73
6.1 Coseismic vertical deformation patterns	73
6.1.1 Artificial/setup-related deformation patterns	73
6.1.2 Typical deformation patterns and surface deformation-slip scaling.....	76
6.1.3 Coseismic basal normal faulting/subsudction channel compaction	79
6.2 Interseismic deformation patterns	80
7. Conclusions and Implications.....	85
8. Outlook	87
9. References	
10. Appendix	